



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

uC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/358,280	07/21/1999	STEVEN M. UTTER	MISTY-52064	1354	
75	90 01/09/2004	EXAMINER			
Rosenbaum & Associates, P.C.			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
650 Dundee Roa Northbrook, IL	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3752		
•			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						inc			
•		/	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		_	09/358,280		UTTER, STEVEN M.				
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
			Christopher S. Kim		3752				
The l Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communi Y	cation appea	ars on the cover s	heet with the co	errespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of tafter SIX (6) M - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERT OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERT OF THE PROPERT	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(i unication. i) days, a reply wi tutory period will i will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, howeve ithin the statutory minim apply and will expire SI) use the application to b	er, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days K (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>19 <i>D</i>ec</u>	<u>ember 2003</u> .						
2a <u>)</u> □ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	Claims								
4)⊠ Claime	(s) <u>5-10,18-21 and 23</u> is/are pe	ending in the	application.						
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim	☑ Claim(s) <u>5-10,18-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim	(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim	(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or e	election requirem	ent.					
Application Pa	pers								
	ecification is objected to by the								
10)☐ The dr	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oa	th or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	miner. Note the a	ttached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)□ AII 1.□ 2.□	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified cop	documents h	nave been receiv nave been receiv	ed.	n No	Stage			
* See the 13) Acknow since a s 37 CFR		n for a list of or domestic p d in the first s	the certified cop priority under 35 sentence of the s	ies not received U.S.C. § 119(e) specification or) (to a provisiona in an Application				
14)⊠ Acknow	ne translation of the foreign lan rledgment is made of a claim for e was included in the first sent	r domestic p	oriority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Refe	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(tent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/358,280 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 19, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Priority

3. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 and/or 121 as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Application/Control Number: 09/358,280 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Claims 7, 9, 10, 18-21 and 23 have not been granted the benefit of the earlier filling date.

Drawings

4. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on October 23, 2000 and April 17, 2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "said inlet" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 9, the recitation "type" renders the claim indefinite. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 5, 8, 9, 18, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norman (842,689).

Application/Control Number: 09/358,280

Art Unit: 3752

With respect to claims 5, 8, 9 and 23, Norman discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 1; means 7, 11 for pressurizing the container; a water conduit 3, 4 (a first conduit 4; a second conduit 3); a spray nozzle 8; a restrictive valve (see figures and page 1, lines 80-92); a means for sealing 6, 8, 9.

With respect to claims 18, 19 and 21, Cushing discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 1; a manual pump 7, 11; a means for delivering fluid (spray nozzle) 8; a means for controlling the emission of evaporative mist (valve) (see figures and page 1, lines 80-92); a first conduit 4; a second conduit 3; a means for hands-free directing (ability for hose 3 to hand and point the nozzle downward).

Intended use recitation such as "for cooling a local area in the vicinity of a person by evaporative cooling" does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior are apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations

Functional recitation such as "said spray nozzle delivering an evaporative cooling mist of water in the vicinity of a person" has not been given patentable weight because it is narrative in form.

7. Claims 5, 18, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cushing (4,911,339).

With respect to claims 5 and 23, Cushing discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 16; means 40 for pressurizing the container; a water conduit 18, 51, 72 (a first conduit 72; a second conduit 18, 51); a spray nozzle 20; a restrictive valve 46; a means for sealing 36,70.

Application/Control Number: 09/358,280 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

With respect to claims 18, 19 and 21, Cushing discloses an apparatus comprising: a pressurizable container 16; a manual pump 40; a means for delivering fluid (spray nozzle) 20; a means for controlling the emission of evaporative mist (valve) 46; a first conduit 72; a second conduit 18, 51; a means for hands-free directing (clip) 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339).

Cushing in view of Rosenberg discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of ice. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have added ice to the container of Cushing to dispense ice water.

9. Claims 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Shurnick et al. (4,852,781).

Cushing differs from what is claimed in the means secured to the misting apparatus for attaching the misting apparatus to a person's waist. Shurnick et al. discloses a means 27 for securing a bottle to a part of a person's body (waist shown in figure 1). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced cage 30 of Cushing with the means 27 of Shurnick et al. to attach the device of Cushing to a runner.

10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushing (4,911,339) in view of Norman (842,689).

Cushing discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the manual piston type pump. Norman discloses a piston pump 7, 11, 12, 13, 17. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have replaced the bellows pump of Cushing with the piston pump of Norman to provide faster compression.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's swearing behind Cushing, Claims 7, 9, 10, 18-21 and 23 have not been granted the benefit of applicant's earlier filing date because applications 07/927,231; 07/698,356; 07/376,380 do not sufficiently disclose the claimed invention to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK